

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/456,249	Applicant(s) RUBIN ET AL.	
	Examiner Sanjiv D. Shah	Art Unit 2624	

All Participants:

(1) Sanjiv D. Shah.

(2) John Fleming.

Date of Interview: 5 April 2006

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:
As cited in prior office action

 Claims discussed:
 3, 4, 5, 15

 Prior art documents discussed:
As cited in prior art

Status of Application: _____


(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 4:30 PM

Part II.
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner suggested examiner's amendment. Applicant's attorney authorized examiner to make examiner's amendment.

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 Sanjiv D. Shah
 Primary Examiner

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)